

Form Instructions

The Peer Review Form can be found here: [2018 Peer Review](#).

Each form accepts five (5) proposal reviews, but you don't have to submit them all at once. You may submit your comments at any time by scrolling to the last page of the survey for the SUBMIT button. Then simply go back to the survey link and begin a new entry when you're ready again. If you do complete your form, return to the link above and submit a second form for additional reviews (and so on).

Based upon your knowledge and with the selection criteria in mind, assign proposals a numerical value in each category. As a frame of reference, 1 indicates that the proposal doesn't meet the standards for a conference session, 8 indicates that while the proposal may need work in this area it is a solid proposal, and 16 indicates that the proposal is superior and needs no modification or suggestions of any kind. Using those markers, score each category with a number 1-16.

Please provide comments for each proposal – they are a critical part of the review. The Conference Committee will rely on your comments in making the final programming decisions. If you indicate a proposal needs minor revisions, please indicate what revisions would be necessary, and include anything that influenced your scores in each category.

What areas do you think best fits the proposal? Check the 3-4 categories it best fits.

Would you attend this session?

Proposals that present a conflict of interest for panelists should not be ranked or commented on by panelists. Simply write **"Recuse"** in the area for commentary.